## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of California

United States of America v.  Malik Swinton  Defendant	) ) Case No. 18-00586 YGR (KAW) ) )
ORDER OF DETE	ENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eli	gibility for Detention
Upon the	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detent	wn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), ion is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact
•	2(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.  Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination of cand the community because the following condition [In the defendant is charged with one of the [In the defendant is charged with it with a [In the defendant is charged with it with it with a [In the defendant is charged with it with it with i	S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ons have been met:  e following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):  18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.  am term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or m sentence is life imprisonment or death; or  term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the  8 § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act  105 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or tw	n convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs wo or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses the (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal ation of such offenses; or
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (2) the defendant has previously been conv	
$\square$ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) a	above for which the defendant has been convicted was ease pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of t defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	ars
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; <b>or</b>	ı of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ing,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment  Lack of stable residence	re
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment	re

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
Significant family or other ties outside the United States   Lack of legal status in the United States   Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration   Prior failure to appear in court as ordered   Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement   Use of alias(es) or false documents   Background information unknown or unverified   Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release    OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:  Prior immediate violation of pretrial release conditions: After being released on the afternoon of 12/17/2018 in the District of Nevada, Defendant purchased a one-way ticket for a flight to Puerto Vallarta - a flight that departed at 5:30 a.m. on the morning of 12/18/2018 with a connecting flight to Puerto Vallarta in Denver, Colorado. Instead of reporting to Pretrial Services on 12/18/18 as ordered he boarded the flight and was arrested at the Denver airport because the U.S.
Pretrial Services on 12/18/18 as ordered, he boarded the flight and was arrested at the Denver airport because the U.S. Marshals had not had an opportunity to clear a previous warrant. He was released in Denver after law enforcement learned that the the warrant would be cleared. Law enforcement in Denver was not aware that Defendant had absconded from Pretrial supervision in Nevada. Defendant went back to Nevada where he was arrested on a warrant based on his violations of his pretrial release conditions. Defendant was dishonest with Pretrial Services, telling them that he failed to report to Pretrial Services because he had been at home sick.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:

03/21/2019

Page 3 of 3

United States Magistrate Judge